Case5:02-cv-04614-JF Document1 Filed09/24/02 Page1 of 5

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WILLIAM R. TAMAYO (CA Bar No. 084965 JONATHAN T. PECK (VA Bar No. 12303) EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COSAN Francisco District Office 901 Market Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone No. (415) 356-5085 Facsimile No. (415) 356-5046 LUCILA G. ROSAS (CA Bar No. 187345) EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COSAN Jose Local Office 96 North Third Street, Suite 200 San Jose, CA 95112 Telephone No. (408) 291- 6401 Facsimile No. (408) 291- 4539 Attorneys for Plaintiff Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	OMMISSION	
11	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
14 15	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY) COMMISSION,	CIVIL ACTION NO.	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, v. FRESH EXPRESS, INC., Defendant.	COMPLAINT Civil Rights Employment Discrimination JURY TRIAL DEMAND	
22	NATURE OF THE ACTION		
23	This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil		
24	Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of sex, retaliation and		
25	constructive discharge and to provide appropria	ate relief to Ms. Armida Urquizo aggrieved by	
26	Defendant's unlawful practices described herein. As alleged below, Defendant Fresh Express, Inc., (hereinafter "Defendant") unlawfully subjected Ms. Urquizo to sexual harassment, creating		
27 28			
	COMPLAINT		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15 16

17

18

19 20

22 23

21

24 25

26

27 28

an offensive, hostile, abusive work environment. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant subjected Ms. Urquizo to retaliation after she complained about and/or rejected the unlawful harassment.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706 (f) (1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (Title VII), 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5 (f) (1) and (3), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. §1981(a).

INTRA-DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

2. This action is appropriate for assignment to the San Jose division because the unlawful employment practices alleged were committed within Santa Clara County, within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission"), is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Sections 706 (f) (1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5 (f) (1) and (3).
- 4. At all relevant times, Fresh Express, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant") has continuously been, and is now, a California corporation, qualified and doing business in the state of California and the city of Salinas and has continuously had and does now have at least 15 employees.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been, and is now, an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g) and (h).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

6. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Ms. Armida Urquizo filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.

COMPLAINT

- 7. Since at least January 1994, Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Section 703 (a) (1) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2 (a) (1). These practices include subjecting Ms. Urquizo to a sexually hostile, abusive, intimidating and offensive work environment, which culminated in a tangible employment action.
- 8. Since at least January 1998, Defendant subjected Ms. Urquizo to adverse employment actions in retaliation for her opposition to and rejection of the sexual harassment in violation of Section 704 (a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e-3 (a). These practices include but are not limited to reduction of hours, change in work schedule and continued harassment.
- 9. The effect of the practices complained of above has been to deprive Ms. Urquizo of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect her employment status because of sexual harassment and on account of retaliation.
- 10. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were and are intentional.
- 11. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were done with malice and/or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Ms. Urquizo.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in sexual harassment, retaliation and any other employment practice which discriminates on the basis of sex.
- B. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for women and those persons who complain about sexual harassment, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.
- C. Order Defendant to make whole Ms. Urquizo by providing appropriate back pay and benefits with prejudgment interest and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of their unlawful employment practices, including other appropriate relief to be COMPLAINT

determined at trial.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- D. Order Defendant to make whole Ms. Urquizo by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices complained of above, including but not limited to medical expenses or other out of pocket expenses in amounts to be determined at trial.
- E. Order Defendant to make whole Ms. Urquizo by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of above, including but not limited to pain and suffering, emotional distress, indignity, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of self-esteem and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order Defendant to pay Ms. Urquizo punitive damages for its malicious and reckless conduct complained of above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.
 - H. Award the Commission its costs of this action.

16 ///

///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

COMPLAINT

Case5:02-cv-04614-JF Document1 Filed09/24/02 Page5 of 5

	JURY TRIAL DEMAND
2	The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.
3	
1	
5	Respectfully submitted,
5	
7	NICHOLAS INZEO Acting General Counsel
0	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Office of the General Counsel Washington, DC 20507
11 12 13	Dated:
15 16 17	Dated: <u>s/ Jonathan T. Peck</u> JONATHAN T. PECK Supervisory Trial Attorney
18 19 20	Dated: <u>s/ Lucila G. Rosas</u> LUCILA G. ROSAS Trial Attorney
21 22 23 24	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION San Jose Local Office 96 North Third Street, Suite 200 San Jose, CA 95112
25	
26	
27	
28	
	COMPLAINT
	5